OFFICIAL Assessment of Children and Young People with Care Experience



Purpose of Assessment

> To **empower** children and young people, and those who support them, to move forward by **identifying barriers to learning** and promoting **inclusion**

Needs of Young People with Care Experience

- Every child thrives when core needs are met. When young people experience negative life events, they may need extra scaffolding to meet these needs.
- Without this support, negative life events can impact on all areas of development, including later cognitive performance and educational attainment (Rees, 2012; Frogley et al., 2019)
- For this reason, children and young people who are looked after by a Local Authority are **presumed to have additional support needs** (The Education (Additional Support for Learning) (Scotland) Act 2004 (as amended 2009))
- Assessment of these needs should be in line with the GIRFEC national practice model (Scottish Government, 2008)
- ➤ It is expected that models of staged intervention are in place and that educational needs are first and foremost considered within the wider context of care planning

Principles of Educational Psychology Assessment

- ➤ In exceptional circumstances, there may be a need for **further assessment information** from Glasgow Educational Psychological Service (GEPS)
- GEPs assessment builds on information already gathered. We take a collaborative, ecological approach to assessment, with a focus beyond the level of the individual
- Our assessment will focus on reaching solutions to overcome barriers to learning and will inform the ongoing cycle of assessment, planning, intervention and review (BPS, 2014)
- ➤ The views and participation of children/young people should be core features of the assessment process (Care Review, 2020)

Types of Educational Psychology Assessment

- Our contextual assessment information is embedded in teaching and learning and focused upon the young person's potential for learning
- ➤ To be **minimally intrusive**, our assessment is often indirect with the Educational Psychologist (EP) acquiring and exploring assessment information through others
- When specific assessment tools and techniques are used directly with the child or young person, they are contextualised and curriculum-based
- ➤ Psychometric testing may disadvantage the young person with whom the assessment is used (e.g. Michell (1997)) and may not adequately represent the experiences of Glasgow's children and young people, particularly those from a more disadvantaged / care experienced background (e.g. Frogley et al. (2019), Livingstone (1995))
- ➤ IQ tests are based on the notion of fixed intelligence, which goes against the strength-based, empowering ethos of our assessment principles. GEPS do not advocate their use with any of Glasgow's children and young people with care experience.

OFFICIAL Assessment of Children and Young People with Care Experience



Points for consideration if an assessment is requested for a child or young person with care experience...

What does the young person want?

What do they need to thrive, now and in the future?

How can the EP support them to get there?

What information has already been gathered to support planning in line with the national practice model?

What supports and strategies are already in place?

What is their impact?

What new information is needed?

How do the assessment outcomes and data inform action planning?

Who will communicate this information to the child/young person and their parent/carer?

How can this be communicated in a way that does no harm?

(Each and Every Child's toolkit supports framing a progressive narrative around care experience in Scotland)

References

British Psychological Society (2014). Educational Psychology Assessment in Scotland. BPS.

Care Review (2020). The Promise. Available at: https://www.carereview.scot/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/The-Promise.pdf [Accessed 13 July 2022]

Education (Additional Support for Learning) (Scotland) Act (2009). Available at: https://www.legislation.gov.uk/asp/2009/7/contents

Frogley, C., John, M., Denton, R., & Querstret, D. (2019). 'They don't meet the stereotypes in the boxes...': foster carers' and clinicians' views on the utility of psychometric tools in the mental health assessment of looked after children. *Adoption and Fostering, 43* (2), 119-136.

Livingstone, D.W. (1995). For whom the bell curve tolls. Alberta Journal of Educational Research, 41 (3), 335-341.

Michell, J. (1997). Quantitative science and the definition of measurement in psychology. *British Journal of Psychology, 88* (3), 355-383.

Rees, P. (2012). The mental health, emotional literacy, cognitive ability, literacy attainment and 'resilience' of 'looked after children': A multidimensional, multiple-rater population based study. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 52(2), 183-198.

Scottish Government (2008). A Guide to Getting It Right For Every Child. Scottish Government.