Balmerino Primary School				
Pupil Equity Fund allocation for session 2	024/25	£ 6125		
School Context				
Our School serves the village of Gauldry and the hamlets of Rathilet and Balmerino as well as a wide surrounding rural area. A few pupils (6%) attend from other areas of the Taybridgehead at their parents' request. Our roll has increased due to the completion of a new housing 11% of the roll live in SIMD decile 7 75% of the roll live in SIMD decile 8 The remaining pupils live in new building housing which has not yet been assigned a SIMD decile. The average SIMD decile is 7.9 However we recognise the diverse socio-economic circumstances of our rural communities. In June 2024, there are 65 pupils across Nursery – P7: 15% speak more than one language at home. 18% have a known or suspected ASN. In August 2023, 10% of the P1-7 roll had a known poverty factor and 12% had an attendance concern.				
× × ×	to reflect the new P1-	-7 school roll and the finished plan to be published in September will be updated.		
Cost of the School Day				
encourage recycling of school uniform and purcharequested contribution of £5 and make it clear that payment plans and grants. We encourage all Nur registration as well as other information on cost or We reach out to parents and lend devices to ensure the amount of fundraising done online so that attempts and the sector.	ase from a range of su at no child will miss ou sery-P5 pupils to take of living support. We do ure all pupils have acc ention is not drawn to v	r pupils and particularly for our pupils who are already experiencing poverty. We uppliers to ensure best value. We cap all non-residential school trips at a maximum it if parents can't contribute. We give good notice of our residential trip and offer e up the universal offer of free meals and we share information about free school meal o not charge for any school clubs and we provide all school equipment at no charge. sess to suitable technology to support home learning. There is scope for us to increase who can and can't contribute. In the recent Parentwise survey, most parents e school day and none gave a negative response.		
	Participatory Budg			
Stakeholder engagement (Are you using any of your PEF allocation to support participatory budgeting. If yes, what is the focus?)				
We have discussed the use of PEF money to fund PSA at Parent Council. All of our families value additional adults and support in school.	No			

Rationale

Amount of Fund allocated (if appropriate) £ 6125

We have established a target group of 19 learners who will be in P1-7 next year. This group includes all pupils who are not currently on track with their learning in one or more of writing, reading or numeracy. It also includes all learners currently on track but at risk of slipping off track. The whole group has the following profile:

EAL – 31% (higher than the percentage of the whole school cohort)

Poverty Factor – 26% (higher than the percentage of the whole school cohort)

Specific Difficulty – 53% (significantly higher than the percentage of the whole school cohort)

Attendance concern – 16% (lower than the most recent percentage of the whole school cohort but I expect that to fall when recalculated in August) As the number of pupils in the target group in each cohort is small, this plan is done at a whole school level to avoid identifying pupils.

Expected Impact	Interventions Planned	Measure of Success	Impact on learners Ongoing evaluation Dec/June
Numeracy The target group for Numeracy comprises 16 children. All will receive a numeracy intervention from the suite. The aim is that by June 2025, 80% of the group will be on track (baseline: 38%) Reading The target group for Reading comprises 16 children. All will receive a numeracy intervention from the suite. The aim is that by June 2025, 80% of the group will be on track (baseline: 50%) Writing The target group for Writing comprises 17 children. All will receive a numeracy intervention from the suite. The aim is that by June 2025, 85% of the group will be on track (baseline: 53%)	All of these pupils will be given support from the suite of interventions of which we make regular use: Project X and Barrington Stoke (developing reading fluency), Assistive Technologies (Writing), Additional use of universal ICT packages (Spelling Frame for Spelling and Sumdog for Numeracy) and a targeted package (Nessy for Reading), Targeted Numeracy practice/overlearning opportunities, Targeted Literacy practice/overlearning opportunities, Numeracy coaching manuals (Plus 1 and power of 2), Highland Literacy Intervention (Phonological awareness), Colourful Semantics (sentence building), Alpha to Omega Spelling approach, Calculator work and enhanced understanding of dyscalculia. Our ability to deliver these interventions to the target group is significantly improved by the additional PSA hours which we fund using PEF. Planning is done at a group/individual level within our tracking spreadsheets, teachers planning and in consultation between HT, SfL Teacher, CT and PSAs. The responsibility to ensure the interventions are delivers lies with the CT. The responsibility to ensure the right resources are in place to support deliver (including PEF PSA hours) lies with HT.	The ultimate measure of success will be CfE declarations in June 2025 – is the child on track to meet the national expectation of achieving Early Level by end of P1, First by end of P4 and Second by end of P7? We refer to Fife's CfE Progression Pathway to measure if pupils in all year groups are on track and we make use of a range of formative assessments as well as summative/diagnostic assessments and tools to support teacher judgement: SNSA, BASE, Schonnell and Sumdog, SpellingFrame, Nessy. Where we need more information about a pupil in the target group we also use CSAT, Conextualised Assessment, Literacy Assessment, Dyslexia and Dyscalculia checklists, Highland maths and other baseline assessments to establish a clearer picture of them as a leaner.	

Appendix D – Pupil Equity Financial Plan Session 2024-2025 (Business manager has this template)

EXAMPLE

Fife PEF Planned and Actual Spend Template - Final.xlsx

Pupil Equity Funding Projected Spend

School (select from dro Woodmill High School PEF Allocation 2021/22: 120 000

2022-2023 Projected/Anticipated Spend

	Literacy			Numeracy			Health & Wellbeing				
Category	Brief Description		Cost	Category	Brief Description		Cost	Category	Brief Description		Cost
Standardised Assessments	tests for S2	٤	2,000.00	Numeracy resources/program	me SumDog	£	5,000.00	Breakfast inititives	Breakfast club	٤	5,000.00
								other (please detail)	Mentoring	£	10,000.00
								other (please detail)	Fighting Chances	٤	720.00
		+				+					
						_					
						_					
		_				_					
Total Spend		£	2,000.00	Total Spend		£	5,000.00	Total Spend		£	15,720.00

	Staffing		Other		
Staffing	FTE	Cost	Category	Brief Description	Cost
PSA2	1	£ 33,250.0			
Family Worker	1	٤ 35,000.0	Tracking Resources Police Scotland	ОТВ	<u>ξ</u> 6,500.00 ξ 4,000.00
Acting PT	4	<u>٤</u> 10,230.0		Show my Homework	£ 8,000.00
					+
					+
Total Spend		£ 78,480.0	Total Spend		£ 18,500.00



Amount of spend planned £ 119,700.00



Appendix E

Name of Establishment

Name of Headteacher

Education Manager

Standards and Quality Report Session 2023-2024

	Comments	i				
Agreed format for SQR						
2023-2024 has been used						
Cost of the School Day						
statement included						
Context table completed						
Shared vision and values						
shared						1
Improvement Work 2023-	Fully		Partially		Continued	
24	Achieved		Achieved		next	
Priority 1					session	
Progress						
Clear progress been made with planned strategic actions						
Clear impact shown for children and young people						
 Quantitative or qualitative data to support this impact Written evaluatively 						
Limited number of next steps identified						
Improvement Work 2023- 2024 Priority 2	Fully Achieved		Partially Achieved		Continued next session	
Progress				1		

Clear progress been	
made with planned	
strategic actions Clear impact shown for	
children and young	
people	
 Quantitative or 	
qualitative data to	
support this impact	
Written evaluatively	
Limited number of next	
steps identified	
Attainment Overview	
Completed	
Evaluative Statement	
about Attainment	
Attainment	
overview/Achievement of a	
Level/Outcomes for Young	
People	
 Successes and 	
gaps identified	
 Destination trends 	
(secondary)	
Wider achievement –	
impact on children and	
young people	
Personalised for schools	
and significant	
events/achievements	
shared	
Feedback from External	
Scrutiny	
LP/ELP	
Education Scotland	
 Care Inspectorate 	

	Γ
PEF Evaluation (per	
priority)	
Progress:	
Detail given of work/action	
which had been	
undertaken towards this	
priority eg professional	
learning, consultation with	
all stakeholders,	
implementation of	
planning, use of resources	
etc	
Impact	
 Quantitative or 	
qualitative data to	
support this impact	
Written evaluatively	
• Whiten evaluatively	
Consultation with	
Stakeholders	
How is SQR, IP and PEF	
shared with stakeholders	
NIF quality Indicators are	
evaluated using six point	
scale (School)	
NIF quality Indicators are	
evaluated using six point	
scale (ELC)	
Care Inspectorate Grades	
included (where relevant)	

Improvement Plan Session 2024-2025

	Comments
 Are priorities identified supporting recovery? Do they cover school, ELC and ASC? 	
Are relevant QI's identified for priority identified? (including Early years if relevant)	
 Expected impact Is this focused on children and young people 	

 Is this written 	
evaluatively	
 Is this linked to data 	
Strategic Action/tasks	
identified:	
 High level 	
Realistic	
Deepeneihilitiee	
ResponsibilitiesIdentified	
At all levels	
Measure of Success	
(including Triangulation of	
evidence/QI Methodology)	
 Is there evidence 	
that evidence will be	
gathered from	
different	
stakeholders and	
through different	
ways throughout the	
session.	
000000	
Timescales	
Realistic	
PEF Plan (included)	
PEF Financial Plan	
(included)	
(

Feedback given by -

Date feedback given

Appendix F

Measure of Success – QI Methodology

Quality improvement is about **giving the people closest to issues affecting outcomes the time, permission, skills and resources they need to solve them.** It involves a systematic and coordinated approach to solving a problem using specific methods and tools with the aim of bringing about a measurable improvement.

A few suggested approaches to consider are :

Approach	Description	Visual
Model for Improvement	Rapid cycle process involving Plan, Do Study, Act (PDSA) cycles to test the effects of small changes, make them and ultimately spread the effective changes through the system if they have the desired effect.	PLAN DO • Propose change idea and how timil be tested • Implement change idea • Collect data • Predict what will happen • Reflect on how well the plan was followed • Share final reflections • Conclude whether to Adopt, Adapt, or Abandon change idea • StuDP • Analyze data collected • Compare results to predictions • Capture learmings • Capture learmings
Visible Learning – Impact Cycle	Gather and consider/analyse evidence/data to determine a focus, introduce an idea or element to your practice and measure the impact that it has based on evidence.	Cather evidence to determine areas of focus 2 Assess impact and next steps Plan professional learning based on evidence 4 3 Track progress and outcomes mplement professional learning plan

